

MS-2087
12/11 - 13/02

Class Attendance:

Student Name	12/11	12/12	12/13
Daniel B	✓	✓	✓
Christopher G	✓	✓	✓
Monique J	✓	✓	✓
Racquel J	✓	✓	✓
Jeremy W	✓	✓	✓

MICROSOFT
EDUCATION Course Evaluation Questionnaire
 AND CERTIFICATION Microsoft Official Curriculum

Course Number: 281 Course Name: WAK Clustering Date of Course: 12/13/00
 MS CTEC Name: _____ MSP ID: _____
 Instructor Name: Norm Hebert Instructor's MCP ID: _____
 Student Name: Monique T. Evaluation ID: _____
 Company Name: USAIF Phone: _____
 Address: _____ Fax: _____
 City: Odenton State/Province: MD ZIP: 21113 E-mail: _____

Indicate the degree to which you agree with each statement:

	7. Strongly Agree	6. Agree	5. Mildly Agree	4. Neutral	3. Mildly Disagree	2. Disagree	1. Strongly Disagree
--	-------------------	----------	-----------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------------

DEMOGRAPHICS

8. I met all course prerequisites. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

COURSEWARE EVALUATION

9. The knowledge and skills covered in this course will help me do my job. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

10. The course length is appropriate to cover the course content. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

11. The balance between lecture and lab is appropriate. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

12. The balance between technical theory and implementation details is appropriate. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

13. The lab exercises are useful aids in learning the knowledge and skills covered in this course. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

14. The graphics/multimedia elements helped to clarify technical concepts. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

15. The course materials were easy to use. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

16. I intend to use the course materials in the future. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

What are your recommendations to Microsoft for making the course content and materials more valuable to you?

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

17. The instructor demonstrated technical expertise on the product and subject matter of this course. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

18. The instructor controlled the training environment to promote learning. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

19. The instructor used non-verbal teaching methods (white board, slides, demos, etc.) effectively. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

20. The instructor explained technical concepts in a way which promoted understanding. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

21. The instructor asked questions appropriately. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

22. The instructor answered all appropriate questions clearly and completely. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

23. The instructor's presentation was clear and well organized. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

24. The instructor was available for student questions during labs and breaks. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

25. I would highly recommend this instructor to my colleagues. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

What are your recommendations for the instructor that will improve his or her effectiveness in delivering this course?

TRAINING CENTER EVALUATION

26. The computer equipment was functional. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

27. The classroom configuration was comfortable to promote learning. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

28. The staff of this training center was courteous and helpful to student needs. 7. 6. 5. 4. 3. 2. 1.

What are your recommendations for improving the quality of service provided by this training center?



MICROSOFT
EDUCATION Course Evaluation Questionnaire
 AND CERTIFICATION Microsoft Official Curriculum

Course Number: 2097 Course Name: Implementing Windows Clustering Date of Course: _____
 MS CTEC Name: Orange Tech MSP ID: _____
 Instructor Name: Norm Hebert Instructor's MCP ID: _____
 Student Name: W Jeremy Evaluation ID: _____
 Company Name: ~~USA~~ USAF Phone: _____
 Address: 9832A Love rd Fax: _____
 City: Ft Meade State/Province: MD ZIP: 20755 E-mail: _____

Indicate the degree to which you agree with each statement:

	7.	6.	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
	Strongly	Agree	Mildly	Neutral	Mildly	Disagree	Strongly
	Agree		Agree		Disagree		Disagree

DEMOGRAPHICS

8. I met all course prerequisites.

COURSEWARE EVALUATION

9. The knowledge and skills covered in this course will help me do my job.

10. The course length is appropriate to cover the course content.

11. The balance between lecture and lab is appropriate.

12. The balance between technical theory and implementation details is appropriate.

13. The lab exercises are useful aids in learning the knowledge and skills covered in this course.

14. The graphics/multimedia elements helped to clarify technical concepts.

15. The course materials were easy to use.

16. I intend to use the course materials in the future.

What are your recommendations to Microsoft for making the course content and materials more valuable to you?

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

17. The instructor demonstrated technical expertise on the product and subject matter of this course.

18. The instructor controlled the training environment to promote learning.

19. The instructor used non-verbal teaching methods (white board, slides, demos, etc.) effectively.

20. The instructor explained technical concepts in a way which promoted understanding.

21. The instructor asked questions appropriately.

22. The instructor answered all appropriate questions clearly and completely.

23. The instructor's presentation was clear and well organized.

24. The instructor was available for student questions during labs and breaks.

25. I would highly recommend this instructor to my colleagues.

What are your recommendations for the instructor that will improve his or her effectiveness in delivering this course?

TRAINING CENTER EVALUATION

26. The computer equipment was functional.

27. The classroom configuration was comfortable to promote learning.

28. The staff of this training center was courteous and helpful to student needs.

What are your recommendations for improving the quality of service provided by this training center?

MICROSOFT
EDUCATION Course Evaluation Questionnaire
 AND CERTIFICATION Microsoft Official Curriculum

Course Number: 2097 Course Name: Windows Clustering Date of Course: 11-13 Dec
 MS CTEC Name: Orange Tech MSP ID: _____
 Instructor Name: Norm Herbert Instructor's MCP ID: _____
 Student Name: Daniel B Evaluation ID: _____
 Company Name: USAF Phone: _____
 Address: 9839 A Love Rd. Fax: _____
 City: Fort Meade State/Province: MD ZIP: 20755 E-mail: _____

Indicate the degree to which you agree with each statement:

	7. Strongly Agree	6. Agree	5. Mildly Agree	4. Neutral	3. Mildly Disagree	2. Disagree	1. Strongly Disagree
--	-------------------	----------	-----------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------------

DEMOGRAPHICS

8. I met all course prerequisites.

COURSEWARE EVALUATION

9. The knowledge and skills covered in this course will help me do my job.

10. The course length is appropriate to cover the course content.

11. The balance between lecture and lab is appropriate.

12. The balance between technical theory and implementation details is appropriate.

13. The lab exercises are useful aids in learning the knowledge and skills covered in this course.

14. The graphics/multimedia elements helped to clarify technical concepts.

15. The course materials were easy to use.

16. I intend to use the course materials in the future.

What are your recommendations to Microsoft for making the course content and materials more valuable to you?

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

17. The instructor demonstrated technical expertise on the product and subject matter of this course.

18. The instructor controlled the training environment to promote learning.

19. The instructor used non-verbal teaching methods (white board, slides, demos, etc.) effectively.

20. The instructor explained technical concepts in a way which promoted understanding.

21. The instructor asked questions appropriately.

22. The instructor answered all appropriate questions clearly and completely.

23. The instructor's presentation was clear and well organized.

24. The instructor was available for student questions during labs and breaks.

25. I would highly recommend this instructor to my colleagues.

What are your recommendations for the instructor that will improve his or her effectiveness in delivering this course?

TRAINING CENTER EVALUATION

26. The computer equipment was functional.

27. The classroom configuration was comfortable to promote learning.

28. The staff of this training center was courteous and helpful to student needs.

What are your recommendations for improving the quality of service provided by this training center?

MICROSOFT
EDUCATION Course Evaluation Questionnaire
 AND CERTIFICATION Microsoft Official Curriculum

Course Number: 2007 Course Name: WIKI Clustering Date of Course: 11-13 Dec 02
 MS CTEC Name: 1 MSP ID: _____
 Instructor Name: Naam Hebert Instructor's MCP ID: _____
 Student Name: Raquel J Evaluation ID: _____
 Company Name: USAF Phone: _____
 Address: _____ Fax: _____
 City: _____ State/Province: _____ ZIP: _____ E-mail: _____

Indicate the degree to which you agree with each statement:

	7. Strongly Agree	6. Agree	5. Mildly Agree	4. Neutral	3. Mildly Disagree	2. Disagree	1. Strongly Disagree
--	-------------------	----------	-----------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------------

DEMOGRAPHICS

8. I met all course prerequisites.

COURSEWARE EVALUATION

9. The knowledge and skills covered in this course will help me do my job.

10. The course length is appropriate to cover the course content.

11. The balance between lecture and lab is appropriate.

12. The balance between technical theory and implementation details is appropriate.

13. The lab exercises are useful aids in learning the knowledge and skills covered in this course.

14. The graphics/multimedia elements helped to clarify technical concepts.

15. The course materials were easy to use.

16. I intend to use the course materials in the future.

What are your recommendations to Microsoft for making the course content and materials more valuable to you?

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

17. The instructor demonstrated technical expertise on the product and subject matter of this course.

18. The instructor controlled the training environment to promote learning.

19. The instructor used non-verbal teaching methods (white board, slides, demos, etc.) effectively.

20. The instructor explained technical concepts in a way which promoted understanding.

21. The instructor asked questions appropriately.

22. The instructor answered all appropriate questions clearly and completely.

23. The instructor's presentation was clear and well organized.

24. The instructor was available for student questions during labs and breaks.

25. I would highly recommend this instructor to my colleagues.

What are your recommendations for the instructor that will improve his or her effectiveness in delivering this course?

TRAINING CENTER EVALUATION

26. The computer equipment was functional.

27. The classroom configuration was comfortable to promote learning.

28. The staff of this training center was courteous and helpful to student needs.

What are your recommendations for improving the quality of service provided by this training center?



MICROSOFT
EDUCATION Course Evaluation Questionnaire
 AND CERTIFICATION Microsoft Official Curriculum

Course Number: <u>2007</u> Course Name: <u>MICROSOFT CLUSTERING</u>	Date of Course: <u>12/11 - 12/13</u>
MS CTEC Name: <u>ORANGE TECH</u>	MSP ID: _____
Instructor Name: <u>NORM HERBERT</u>	Instructor's MCP ID: _____
Student Name: <u>CHRISTOPHER E.</u>	Evaluation ID: _____
Company Name: <u>USAF</u>	Phone: <u>443</u>
Address: <u>9839A LOVE ROAD</u>	Fax: _____
City: <u>FT MEADE</u> State/Province: <u>MD</u> ZIP: <u>20755</u>	E-mail: _____

Indicate the degree to which you agree with each statement:

	7. Strongly Agree	6. Agree	5. Mildly Agree	4. Neutral	3. Mildly Disagree	2. Disagree	1. Strongly Disagree
--	-------------------	----------	-----------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------------

DEMOGRAPHICS

8. I met all course prerequisites.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
------------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

COURSEWARE EVALUATION

9. The knowledge and skills covered in this course will help me do my job.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. The course length is appropriate to cover the course content.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. The balance between lecture and lab is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. The balance between technical theory and implementation details is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. The lab exercises are useful aids in learning the knowledge and skills covered in this course.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. The graphics/multimedia elements helped to clarify technical concepts.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. The course materials were easy to use.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16. I intend to use the course materials in the future.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

What are your recommendations to Microsoft for making the course content and materials more valuable to you?

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

17. The instructor demonstrated technical expertise on the product and subject matter of this course.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18. The instructor controlled the training environment to promote learning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. The instructor used non-verbal teaching methods (white board, slides, demos, etc.) effectively.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. The instructor explained technical concepts in a way which promoted understanding.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21. The instructor asked questions appropriately.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22. The instructor answered all appropriate questions clearly and completely.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
23. The instructor's presentation was clear and well organized.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24. The instructor was available for student questions during labs and breaks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
25. I would highly recommend this instructor to my colleagues.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

What are your recommendations for the instructor that will improve his or her effectiveness in delivering this course?

TRAINING CENTER EVALUATION

26. The computer equipment was functional.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
27. The classroom configuration was comfortable to promote learning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
28. The staff of this training center was courteous and helpful to student needs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

What are your recommendations for improving the quality of service provided by this training center?